

A $3-(v, 4, 1)$ PACKING CONSTRUCTION

Narong Punnim* and Dinesh G. Sarvate†

*Department of Mathematics
Srinakharinwirot University
Sukhumvit 23, Bangkok 10110, Thailand

†Department of Mathematics
College of Charleston,
Charleston, SC 29424 USA

Abstract

The packing number $D(v, k, t)$ is the maximum number of blocks for any $t-(v, k, 1)$ packing. A construction for $3-(v, 4, 1)$ is given, which when applied to $v \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ produces packings which can improve the packing number for $2v + 1$ in certain cases. In some cases, it can achieve the bound e.g. it is shown that $D(27, 4, 3) = 702$.

1 Introduction

Let $v \geq k \geq t$. A $t-(v, k, \lambda)$ packing is a pair (X, \mathcal{B}) , where X is a v -set of elements (*points*) and \mathcal{B} is a collection of k -subsets of X (*blocks*), such that every t -subset of points occurs in at most λ blocks in \mathcal{B} . The *packing number* $D_\lambda(v, k, t)$ is the maximum number of blocks in any $t-(v, k, \lambda)$ packing. A $t-(v, k, \lambda)$ packing (X, \mathcal{B}) is *optimal* if $|\mathcal{B}| = D_\lambda(v, k, t)$. If $\lambda = 1$, we usually write $D(v, k, t)$ for $D_1(v, k, t)$.

Upper bounds for $D_\lambda(v, k, t)$ were given by Johnson cited from [1; page 409-410] as stated in the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. $D_\lambda(v, k, t) \leq \lfloor \frac{v \cdot D_\lambda(v-1, k-1, t-1)}{k} \rfloor$. Iterating this bound yields $D_\lambda(v, k, t) \leq U_\lambda(v, k, t)$, where $U_\lambda(v, k, t) = \lfloor \frac{v}{k} \lfloor \frac{v-1}{k-1} \dots \lfloor \frac{\lambda(v-t+1)}{k+t-1} \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor$.

*Supported by The Thailand Research Fund.

†This work was carried out while the second author visited Mahidol Wittayanusorn School during Feb-March 2006. The hospitality provided by the school is gratefully acknowledged.

Key words: packing number, optimal packing construction.

2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C70, 05C85.

Further, if $\lambda(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{(k-1)}$ and $\lambda v(v-1) \equiv -1 \pmod{k}$, then $D_\lambda(v, k, 2) \leq U_\lambda(v, k, 2) - 1$.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $d = D_\lambda(v, k, t) = qv + r$, where $0 \leq r \leq v - 1$. Then $q(q-1)v + 2qr \leq (t-1)d(d-1)$. From this it follows that $D_\lambda(v, k, t) \leq \lfloor \frac{v(k+1-t)}{k^2-v(t-1)} \rfloor$.

This note is motivated by a remark in Stinson ([1]; page 410) that for $v \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, $v \geq 17$, essentially nothing is known about the number $D(v, 4, 3)$, the packing number for a 3-($v, 4, 1$) packing and by the well known construction of SQS($2v$) from SQS(v), see for example Lindner and Rodger [2].

For the most part, our notation and terminology follows that of Lindner and Rodger [2].

2 Construction from v to $2v$

Observe that the well known $2v$ construction for SQS to produce SQS($2v$) from an SQS(v), can be applied for packing and for any even integer v where a packing exists.

Theorem 2.1. A 3-($v, 4, 1$) packing (X, \mathcal{B}) for even v implies the existence of a 3-($2v, 4, 1$) packing.

Proof A 3-($v, 4, 1$) packing (X, \mathcal{B}) is given. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{v-1}\}$ be a 1-factorization of K_v defined on X . Then the following blocks together produce a 3-($2v, 4, 1$) packing on the set of vertices $X \times \{1, 2\}$ and set of blocks \mathcal{B}' , where \mathcal{B}' is defined as follows.

1. For each block $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $B \times \{1\}, B \times \{2\} \in \mathcal{B}'$.
2. For each $F_j \in \mathcal{F}$, $\{(a, 1), (b, 1), (c, 2), (d, 2)\} \in \mathcal{B}'$ if and only if $\{a, b\} \in F_j$ and $\{c, d\} \in F_j$.

□

Corollary 2.2. The construction produces optimal packing for $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$

Proof Let $v = 6t$. Then $D(6t, 4, 3) = \lfloor \frac{18t^3 - 9t^2 - 3t}{2} \rfloor = \frac{18t^3 - 9t^2 - 3t}{2}$. On the other hand the construction produces \mathcal{B}' with $|\mathcal{B}'| = 2 \cdot \frac{18t^3 - 9t^2 - 3t}{2} + (3t) \cdot (3t) \cdot (6t - 1) = 72t^3 - 18t^2 - 3t$, the exact number needed for optimal packing for 3-($v, 4, 1$), i.e, $D(12t, 4, 3)$ is achieved. This means, the construction produces an optimal 3-($2v, 4, 1$) packing, just like in the case of SQS(v). □

For example, when $v = 6$, the packing number $D(6, 4, 3)$ is 3. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_5\}$ be a set of 1-factorization of K_6 . For each $F_j \in \mathcal{F}$, F_i contains 3 independent edges. Therefore we get a packing for $2v = 12$ with $3 + 3 + 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 = 51$ blocks. Note that $D(12, 4, 3)$ is 51.

3 Construction from v to $2v + 1$

A slight modification of above construction is very useful as it can be used to get 3 -($v, 4, 1$) packing for $v \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Packings 3 -($v, 4, 1$) and 3 -($v + 1, 4, 1$) implies the existence of a 3 -($2v + 1, 4, 1$) packing.*

Proof Let v be an odd integer. Suppose a packing 3 -($v, 4, 1$) and a packing 3 -($v + 1, 4, 1$) with sets of blocks \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 , respectively, are given. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_v\}$ be a 1-factorization of K_{v+1} . Then the following blocks together produce a 3 -($2v + 1, 4, 1$) packing on the set of vertices $\{(i, 1) : i = 1, 2, \dots, v\} \cup \{(i, 2) : i = 1, 2, \dots, v + 1\}$ with set of blocks \mathcal{B}' according to the following rules.

1. For each block $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$, $B \times \{1\} \in \mathcal{B}'$.
2. For each block $B \in \mathcal{B}_2$, $B \times \{2\} \in \mathcal{B}'$.
3. For each $F_j \in \mathcal{F}$, $\{(a, 1), (b, 1), (c, 2), (d, 2)\} \in \mathcal{B}'$ if and only if $\{a, b\} \in F_j$ and $\{c, d\} \in F_j$.

□

The construction produces $|\mathcal{B}_1| + |\mathcal{B}_2| + \frac{v-1}{2} \cdot \frac{v+1}{2} \cdot v$ blocks. Moreover the construction gives blocks with more structure than the packing obtained from deleting an element from a 3 -($2v + 2, 4, 1$) and it can produce optimal packing. For example, when $v = 7$, we get $7 + 14 + 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 = 105$ blocks, which gives an optimal packing as $D(15, 4, 1) = 105$.

Note that if $v \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, then $2v + 1 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$. Let us first consider in the case when $v = 5$. It is known that $D(5, 4, 3) = 1$ and $D(6, 4, 3) = 3$. Thus by the above construction, we get $D(11, 4, 3) \geq 1 + 3 + 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 = 34$. It is also known that $D(11, 4, 3) = 35$. So, the construction achieves one block less than an optimal packing in this case. Now consider when $v = 11$. It is known that $D(11, 4, 3) = 35$ and $D(12, 4, 3) = 51$. Thus by the above construction, we get $D(23, 4, 3) \geq 35 + 51 + 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 11 = 416$. It is known that $D(23, 4, 3) \leq \lfloor \frac{23}{4} \lfloor \frac{22}{3} \lfloor \frac{21}{2} \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor = 419$. Thus $416 \leq D(23, 4, 3) \leq 419$. For $v = 17$, we know that $D(35, 4, 3) \leq 1583$ and by the construction gives that $D(35, 4, 3) \geq 1579$. Finally, it is known that $D(27, 4, 3) = 702$, and the construction of 3 -($27, 4, 1$) above produces $65 + 91 + 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 = 702$ blocks. In fact, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *If $v \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, say $v = 12s + 1$, $D(24s + 3, 4, 3) = (24s + 2)(24s + 3)s = 576s^3 + 120s^2 + 6s$ and the construction also produces the same number of blocks required to give optimal packing.*

4 Generalization of v to $2v$ construction from SQS(v) to $(2t - 1)$ - $(v, 2t, 1)$ packing for any t

Suppose large set exists for (v, t) , in other words assume that all t -subsets of v -set can be partitioned to BIBD($v, t, 1$). Note that the set of all $2t$ -subsets of a v -set is a $(v, \binom{v}{t}, \binom{v}{t-1}, t, \binom{v}{t-1} \cdot \binom{t-1}{v-1})$. So there are $s = \binom{v}{t-1} \cdot \binom{t-1}{v-1}$ BIBD($v, t, (\lambda = 1)$)-designs. Call them F_1, F_2, \dots, F_s . We have the following construction.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose there exists a $(2t - 1)$ - $(v, 2t, 1)$ packing with M blocks, then there exists a $(2t - 1)$ - $(2v, 2t, 1)$ packing with $2M + \lceil \frac{t-1}{v-1} \cdot \binom{v}{t-1} \rceil^2 \cdot \lceil \frac{\binom{v}{t}}{\binom{t-1}{v-1}} \rceil$.*

Proof As usual let $V = \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ and the blocks of $(2t - 1)$ - $(v, 2t, 1)$ packing be \mathcal{B} . The blocks of $(2t - 1)$ - $(2v, 2t, 1)$ are in \mathcal{B}' on the set $V \times \{1, 2\}$, according to the following rules.

1. If $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then $B \times \{1\}$ and $B \times \{2\}$ are in \mathcal{B}' .
2. For each F_j , if $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t\}$ and $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t\}$ are any two not necessary distinct blocks in F_j , then $\{(a_1, 1), (a_2, 1), \dots, (a_t, 1), (b_1, 2), (b_2, 2), \dots, (b_t, 2)\}$ is in \mathcal{B}' .

Note that two blocks of size t in F_j intersect in only 1 point. Therefore the intersection between any two distinct blocks directly obtained from \mathcal{B} and from F_j is at most t and the intersection between the blocks from \mathcal{B} can be $(2t - 1)$. Intersection of blocks obtained from the same F_j can be at most $(t + 1)$. Intersection of blocks obtained from different F_j can be at most $2(t - 1) = 2t - 2$. □

For example, let $v = 7$, we have $D(7, 6, 1) = 1$. Since $\binom{7}{3} = 35$, there is a partition of all subsets of size 3 into 5 2- $(7, 3, 1)$. So there exists 5- $(14, 6, 1)$ packing with $1 + 1 + 5 \times 7 \times 7 = 247$ blocks. The bound for 5- $(14, 6, 1)$ is $\lceil \frac{14}{6} \lceil \frac{13}{5} \lceil \frac{12}{4} \lceil \frac{11}{3} \lceil \frac{10}{2} \rceil \rceil \rceil \rceil \rceil = 326$. The construction does not provide close enough number of blocks but notice that block intersections are much lower than $2t - 2$ for many pairs.

References

- [1] D. Stinson, *Packings*, The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, editors C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fl. 1996, 409-413.
- [2] C. C. Lindner and C. A. Rodger, *Design Theory*, CRC Press, 1997.