

# EXISTENCE RESULTS FOR NAVIER PROBLEMS WITH DEGENERATED $(p, q)$ -LAPLACIAN AND $(p, q)$ -BIHARMONIC OPERATORS IN WEIGHTED SOBOLEV SPACES

Albo Carlos Cavalheiro

*Department of Mathematics, State University of Londrina,  
Londrina - PR, Brazil.  
e-mail: accava@gmail.com*

## Abstract

In this article, we prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the Navier problem

$$(P) \begin{cases} \Delta[\omega(x)|\Delta u|^{p-2}\Delta u + \nu(x)|\Delta u|^{q-2}\Delta u] - \operatorname{div}[\omega(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u + \nu(x)|\nabla u|^{q-2}\nabla u] \\ = f(x) - \operatorname{div}(G(x)), \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u(x) = \Delta u = 0, \text{ in } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 2$ ),  $\frac{f}{\omega} \in L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)$  and  $\frac{G}{\nu} \in [L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)]^N$ .

## 1 Introduction

The main purpose of this paper (see Theorem 3.2) is to establish the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the Navier problem

$$(P) \begin{cases} Lu(x) = f(x) - \operatorname{div}(G(x)), \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u(x) = \Delta u(x) = 0, \text{ in } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

---

**Key words:** Degenerate nolinear elliptic equations, weighted Sobolev space.  
2010 AMS Classification: 35J60, 35J70.

where

$$Lu(x) = \Delta[\omega(x)|\Delta u|^{p-2}\Delta u + \nu(x)|\Delta u|^{q-2}\Delta u] - \operatorname{div}[\omega(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u + \nu(x)|\nabla u|^{q-2}\nabla u],$$

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded open set,  $\frac{f}{\omega} \in L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)$ ,  $\frac{G}{\nu} \in [L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)]^N$ ,  $\omega$  and  $\nu$  are two weight functions (i.e.,  $\omega$  and  $\nu$  are locally integrable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $0 < \omega(x) < \infty$  and  $0 < \nu(x) < \infty$  a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ),  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian operator,  $1 < q < p < \infty$ ,  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$  and  $1/q + 1/q' = 1$ .

For degenerate partial differential equations, i.e., equations with various types of singularities in the coefficients, it is natural to look for solutions in weighted Sobolev spaces (see [1], [4], [5], [7], [8] and [11]). The type of a weight depends on the equation type.

A class of weights, which is particularly well understood, is the class of  $A_p$  weights that was introduced by B. Muckenhoupt in the early 1970's (see [8]). These classes have found many useful applications in harmonic analysis (see [9] and [10]). Another reason for studying  $A_p$ -weights is the fact that powers of the distance to submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  often belong to  $A_p$  (see [3] and [11]). There are, in fact, many interesting examples of weights (see [7] for  $p$ -admissible weights).

In the non-degenerate case (i.e. with  $\omega(x) \equiv 1$ ), for all  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  the Poisson equation associated with the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(x), & \text{in } \Omega \\ u(x) = 0, & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

is uniquely solvable in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  (see [6]), and the nonlinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = f(x), & \text{in } \Omega \\ u(x) = 0, & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

is uniquely solvable in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  (see [2]), where  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$  is the  $p$ -Laplacian operator. In the degenerate case, the degenerated  $p$ -Laplacian has been studied in [3].

The paper is organized as follow. In Section 2 we present the definitions and basic results. In Section 3 we prove our main result about existence and uniqueness of solutions for problem (P).

## 2 Definitions and basic results

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . By the symbol  $\mathcal{W}(\Omega)$  we denote the set of all measurable a.e. in  $\Omega$  positive and finite functions  $\omega = \omega(x)$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ . Elements of  $\mathcal{W}(\Omega)$  will be called weight functions. Every weight  $\omega$  gives rise to a measure

on the measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  through integration. This measure will be denoted by  $\mu_\omega$ . Thus,  $\mu_\omega(E) = \int_E \omega(x) dx$  for measurable sets  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . A weight  $\omega$  is said to be an  $A_p$ -weight, if there is a positive constant  $C$  such that, for every ball  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \omega(x) dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \omega^{1/(1-p)}(x) dx \right)^{p-1} &\leq C, \text{ if } p > 1, \\ \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \omega(x) dx \right) \left( \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in B} \frac{1}{\omega(x)} \right) &\leq C, \text{ if } p = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the  $N$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . The infimum over all such constants  $C$  is called the  $A_p$ -constant of  $\omega$  and is denoted by  $C_{p,\omega}$ .

If  $1 < q \leq p$ , then  $A_q \subset A_p$  (see [5], [7] or [11] for more information about  $A_p$ -weights). As an example of an  $A_p$ -weight, the function  $\omega(x) = |x|^\alpha$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , is in  $A_p$  if and only if  $-N < \alpha < N(p-1)$  (see [11], Chapter IX, Corollary 4.4). If  $\varphi \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , then  $\omega(x) = e^{\alpha \varphi(x)} \in A_2$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  (see [9]).

**Remark 2.1.** If  $\omega \in A_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , then

$$\left( \frac{|E|}{|B|} \right)^p \leq C_{p,\omega} \frac{\mu_\omega(E)}{\mu_\omega(B)}$$

for all measurable subsets  $E$  of  $B$  (see 15.5 *strong doubling property* in [7]). Therefore,  $\mu_\omega(E) = 0$  if and only if  $|E| = 0$ ; so there is no need to specify the measure when using the ubiquitous expression almost everywhere and almost every, both abbreviated a.e..

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  a bounded open set,  $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . We shall denote by  $L^p(\Omega, \omega)$  the Banach space of all measurable functions  $f$  defined in  $\Omega$  for which

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} = \left( \int_\Omega |f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

We denote  $[L^p(\Omega, \omega)]^N = L^p(\Omega, \omega) \times \dots \times L^p(\Omega, \omega)$ .

**Remark 2.2.** If  $\omega \in A_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , then since  $\omega^{-1/(p-1)}$  is locally integrable, we have  $L^p(\Omega, \omega) \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  (see [11], Remark 1.2.4). It thus makes sense to talk about weak derivatives of functions in  $L^p(\Omega, \omega)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded open set,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $k$  be a non-negative integer and  $\omega \in A_p$ . We shall denote by  $W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$ , the weighted

Sobolev spaces, the set of all functions  $u \in L^p(\Omega, \omega)$  with weak derivatives  $D^\alpha u \in L^p(\Omega, \omega)$ ,  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq k$ . The norm in the space  $W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  is defined by

$$\|u\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p \omega(x) dx + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq k} \int_{\Omega} |D^\alpha u(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \right)^{1/p}. \quad (2.1)$$

We also define the space  $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  as the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm (2.1). We have that the spaces  $W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  and  $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  are Banach spaces (see Proposition 2.1.2 in [11]).

The dual space of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  is the space  $[W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega)]^* = W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, \omega)$ ,

$$W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, \omega) = \left\{ T = f - \operatorname{div}(G) : G = (g_1, \dots, g_N), \frac{f}{\omega}, \frac{g_j}{\omega} \in L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega) \right\}.$$

It is evident that a weight function  $\omega$  which satisfies  $0 < C_1 \leq \omega(x) \leq C_2$ , for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , gives nothing new (the space  $W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  is then identical with the classical Sobolev space  $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ ). Consequently, we shall be interested in all above such weight functions  $\omega$  which either vanish somewhere in  $\Omega \cup \partial\Omega$  or increase to infinity (or both).

We need the following basics results.

**Theorem 2.3.** *(The weighted Sobolev inequality) Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded open set and let  $\omega$  be an  $A_p$ -weight,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then there exists positive constants  $C_\Omega$  and  $\delta$  such that for all  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  and  $1 \leq \eta \leq N/(N-1) + \delta$*

$$\|u\|_{L^{\eta p}(\Omega, \omega)} \leq C_\Omega \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $C_\Omega$  may be taken to depend only on  $N$ , the  $A_p$ -constant of  $\omega$ ,  $p$  and the diameter of  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Its suffices to prove the inequality for functions  $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  (see Theorem 1.3 in [4]). To extend the estimates (2.2) to arbitrary  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega)$ , we let  $\{u_m\}$  be a sequence of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  functions tending to  $u$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega)$ . Applying the estimates (2.2) to differences  $u_{m_1} - u_{m_2}$ , we see that  $\{u_m\}$  will be a Cauchy sequence in  $L^p(\Omega, \omega)$ . Consequently the limit function  $u$  will lie in the desired spaces and satisfy (2.2).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** (a) *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , then exists a constant  $C_p > 0$  such that for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,*

$$\left| |\xi|^{p-2} \xi - |\eta|^{p-2} \eta \right| \leq C_p |\xi - \eta| (|\xi| + |\eta|)^{p-2}.$$

(b) *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . There exist two positive constants  $\alpha_p$  and  $\beta_p$  such that for every  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 1$ )*

$$\alpha_p (|\xi| + |\eta|)^{p-2} |\xi - \eta|^2 \leq \langle |\xi|^{p-2} \xi - |\eta|^{p-2} \eta, \xi - \eta \rangle \leq \beta_p (|\xi| + |\eta|)^{p-2} |\xi - \eta|,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes here the Euclidean scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

*Proof.* See Proposition 17.2 and Proposition 17.3 in [2].  $\square$

### 3 Weak Solutions

Let  $\omega \in A_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . We denote by  $X = W^{2,p}(\Omega, \omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  with the norm

$$\|u\|_X = \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p \omega \, dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

In this section we prove the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions  $u \in X$  to the Navier problem

$$(P) \begin{cases} Lu(x) = f(x) - \operatorname{div}(G(x)), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x) = \Delta u = 0, & \text{in } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 2$ ),  $\frac{f}{\omega} \in L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)$  and  $\frac{G}{\nu} \in [L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)]^N$ ,  $G = (g_1, \dots, g_N)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** We say that  $u \in X$  is a weak solution for problem (P) if

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{q-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi \nu \, dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \nu \, dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle G, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $\varphi \in X$ , with  $f/\omega \in L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)$  and  $G/\nu \in [L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)]^N$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes here the Euclidean scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

**Remark 3.1.** (i) Since  $1 < q < p < \infty$  and if  $\frac{\nu}{\omega} \in L^{p/(p-q)}(\Omega, \omega)$ , there exists a constant  $C_{p,q} > 0$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega, \nu)} \leq C_{p,q} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)}, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $C_{p,q} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\nu}{\omega} \right)^{p/(p-q)} \omega \, dx \right]^{(p-q)/pq} = \|\nu/\omega\|_{L^{p/(p-q)}(\Omega, \omega)}^{1/q}$ .

In fact, since  $1 < q < p < \infty$ , we have  $r = p/q > 1$  and  $r' = p/(p - q)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega, \nu)}^q &= \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \nu \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \frac{\nu}{\omega} \omega \, dx \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{qr} \omega \, dx \right)^{1/r} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\nu}{\omega} \right)^{r'} \omega \, dx \right)^{1/r'} \\ &= \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \omega \, dx \right)^{q/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\nu}{\omega} \right)^{p/(p-q)} \omega \, dx \right)^{(p-q)/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega, \nu)} \leq C_{p,q} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)}$ .

(ii) By (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{q-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi \nu \, dx \right| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{q-1} |\Delta \varphi| \nu \, dx \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{(q-1)q'} \nu \, dx \right)^{1/q'} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi|^q \nu \, dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^q \nu \, dx \right)^{(q-1)/q} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi|^q \nu \, dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \|\Delta u\|_{L^q(\Omega, \nu)}^{q-1} \|\Delta \varphi\|_{L^q(\Omega, \nu)} \\ &\leq C_{p,q}^{q-1} \|\Delta u\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)}^{q-1} C_{p,q} \|\Delta \varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} \\ &\leq C_{p,q}^q \|u\|_X^{q-1} \|\varphi\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

and, analogously, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \nu \, dx \right| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{q-1} |\nabla \varphi| \nu \, dx \\ &\leq C_{p,q}^q \|u\|_X^{q-1} \|\varphi\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.2.** (a) Let  $\omega \in A_p$ ,  $\nu \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ ,  $1 < q < p < \infty$  and  $\frac{\nu}{\omega} \in L^{p/(p-q)}(\Omega, \omega)$ ;

(b)  $f/\omega \in L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)$  and  $G/\nu \in [L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)]^N$ .

Then the problem (P) has a unique solution  $u \in X$  and

$$\|u\|_X \leq \left[ C_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{f}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + C_{p,q} \left\| \frac{|G|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \right]^{1/(p-1)},$$

where  $C_{\Omega}$  is the constant in Theorem 2.3 and  $C_{p,q}$  is the constant in Remark 3.1 (i).

*Proof.* (I) *Existence.* By Theorem 2.3 (with  $\eta = 1$ ), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx \right| &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{f}{\omega} \right|^{p'} \omega \, dx \right)^{1/p'} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^p \omega \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq C_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{f}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} \\
&\leq C_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{f}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \|\varphi\|_X,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and by Remark 3.1 (i)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\Omega} \langle G, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx \right| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\langle G, \nabla \varphi \rangle| \, dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} |G| |\nabla \varphi| \, dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \frac{|G|}{\nu} |\nabla \varphi| \nu \, dx \\
&\leq \left\| \frac{|G|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^q(\Omega, \nu)} \\
&\leq C_{p,q} \left\| \frac{|G|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} \\
&\leq C_{p,q} \left\| \frac{|G|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \|\varphi\|_X.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Define the functional  $J : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
J(\varphi) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi|^p \omega \, dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi|^q \nu \, dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^p \omega \, dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^q \nu \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \langle G, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.3), (3.4), Remark 3.1(i) and Young's inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
J(\varphi) &\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi|^p \omega \, dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi|^q \nu \, dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^p \omega \, dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^q \nu \, dx \\
&- \left\| \frac{f}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} - \left\| \frac{|G|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^q(\Omega, \nu)} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^p \omega \, dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^q \nu \, dx \\
&- C_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{f}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} - \left\| \frac{|G|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^q(\Omega, \nu)} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^p \omega \, dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^q \nu \, dx \\
&- \frac{C_{\Omega}^{p'}}{p'} \left\| \frac{f}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p'} - \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)}^p - \frac{1}{q'} \left\| \frac{|G|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)}^{q'} - \frac{1}{q} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^q(\Omega, \nu)}^q \\
&\geq -\frac{C_{\Omega}^{p'}}{p'} \left\| \frac{f}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p'} - \frac{1}{q'} \left\| \frac{|G|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)}^{q'}
\end{aligned}$$

that is,  $J$  is bounded from below. Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a minimizing sequence, that is, a sequence such that

$$J(u_n) \rightarrow \inf_{\varphi \in X} J(\varphi).$$

Then for  $n$  large enough, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \geq J(u_n) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^p \omega \, dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^q \nu \, dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p \omega \, dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^q \nu \, dx \\
&- \int_{\Omega} f u_n \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \langle G, \nabla u_n \rangle \, dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^p \omega \, dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p \omega \, dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^p \omega \, dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^q \nu \, dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p \omega \, dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^q \nu \, dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} f u_n \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle G, u_n \rangle \, dx. \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$



Hence, by Theorem 2.3 (with  $\eta = 1$ ), (3.5) and Remark 3.1(i), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_n\|_X^p &= \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^p \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p \omega \, dx \\
&\leq p \left( \int_{\Omega} f u_n \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle G, \nabla u_n \rangle \, dx \right) \\
&\leq p \left( \left\| \frac{f}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \|u_n\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} + \left\| \frac{|G|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^q(\Omega, \nu)} \right) \\
&\leq p \left( C_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{f}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} + C_{p,q} \left\| \frac{|G|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} \right) \\
&\leq p \left( C_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{f}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + C_{p,q} \left\| \frac{|G|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \right) \|u_n\|_X.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|u_n\|_X \leq \left[ p \left( C_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{f}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + C_{p,q} \left\| \frac{|G|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \right) \right]^{1/(p-1)}.$$

Therefore  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is reflexive, there exists a subsequence, still denoted by  $\{u_n\}$ , and a function  $u \in X$  such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $X$ . Since,

$$X \ni \varphi \mapsto \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle G, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx,$$

and

$$X \ni \varphi \mapsto \|\Delta \varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} + \|\Delta \varphi\|_{L^q(\Omega, \nu)} + \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} + \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^q(\Omega, \nu)},$$

are continuous then  $J$  is continuous. Moreover since  $1 < q < p < \infty$  we have that  $J$  is convex and thus lower semi-continuous for the weak convergence. It follows that

$$J(u) \leq \liminf_n J(u_n) = \inf_{\varphi \in X} J(\varphi),$$

and thus  $u$  is a minimizer of  $J$  on  $X$  (see Theorem 25.C and Corollary 25.15 in [12]). For any  $\varphi \in X$  the function

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda \mapsto & \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta(u + \lambda \varphi)|^p \omega \, dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta(u + \lambda \varphi)|^q \nu \, dx \\
& + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u + \lambda \varphi)|^p \omega \, dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u + \lambda \varphi)|^q \nu \, dx \\
& - \int_{\Omega} (u + \lambda \varphi) f \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \langle G, \nabla(u + \lambda \varphi) \rangle \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

has a minimum at  $\lambda = 0$ . Hence,

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left( J(u + \lambda \varphi) \right) \right|_{\lambda=0} = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in X.$$

We have

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \left( |\nabla(u + \lambda \varphi)|^p \omega \right) = p \{ |\nabla(u + \lambda \varphi)|^{p-2} (\langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle + \lambda |\nabla \varphi|^2) \} \omega,$$

and

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \left( |\Delta(u + \lambda \varphi)|^p \omega \right) = p |\Delta u + \lambda \Delta \varphi|^{p-2} (\Delta u + \lambda \Delta \varphi) \Delta \varphi \omega,$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left. \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left( J(u + \lambda \varphi) \right) \right|_{\lambda=0} \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{p} \left( p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u + \lambda \varphi)|^{p-2} (\langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle + \lambda |\nabla \varphi|^2) \omega \, dx \right. \right. \\ &\quad + p \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u + \lambda \Delta \varphi|^{p-2} (\Delta u + \lambda \Delta \varphi) \Delta \varphi \omega \, dx \left. \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q} \left( q \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u + \lambda \varphi)|^{q-2} (\langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle + \lambda |\nabla \varphi|^2) \nu \, dx \right. \\ &\quad + q \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u + \lambda \Delta \varphi|^{q-2} (\Delta u + \lambda \Delta \varphi) \Delta \varphi \nu \, dx \left. \right) \\ &\quad - \left. \int_{\Omega} \varphi f \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \langle G, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx \right] \Big|_{\lambda=0} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \omega \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{q-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi \nu \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \nu \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \langle G, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \omega \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{q-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi \nu \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \nu \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle G, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varphi \in X$ , that is,  $u \in X$  is a solution of problem (P).

(II) *Uniqueness.* If  $u_1, u_2 \in X$  are two weak solutions of problem (P), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_1|^{p-2} \Delta u_1 \Delta \varphi \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_1|^{q-2} \Delta u_1 \Delta \varphi \nu \, dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_1, \nabla \varphi \rangle \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u_1, \nabla \varphi \rangle \nu \, dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle G, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_2|^{p-2} \Delta u_2 \Delta \varphi \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_2|^{q-2} \Delta u_2 \Delta \varphi \nu \, dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_2, \nabla \varphi \rangle \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_2|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u_2, \nabla \varphi \rangle \nu \, dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle G, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varphi \in X$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u_1|^{p-2} \Delta u_1 - |\Delta u_2|^{p-2} \Delta u_2 \right) \Delta \varphi \omega \, dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u_1|^{q-2} \Delta u_1 - |\Delta u_2|^{q-2} \Delta u_2 \right) \Delta \varphi \nu \, dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_1, \nabla \varphi \rangle - |\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_2, \nabla \varphi \rangle \right) \omega \, dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_1|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u_1, \nabla \varphi \rangle - |\nabla u_2|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u_2, \nabla \varphi \rangle \right) \nu \, dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\varphi = u_1 - u_2$ , and using Lemma 2.4(b) there exist positive constants  $\alpha_p, \tilde{\alpha}_p, \alpha_q, \tilde{\alpha}_q$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u_1|^{p-2} \Delta u_1 - |\Delta u_2|^{p-2} \Delta u_2 \right) (\Delta u_1 - \Delta u_2) \omega \, dx \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u_1|^{q-2} \Delta u_1 - |\Delta u_2|^{q-2} \Delta u_2 \right) (\Delta u_1 - \Delta u_2) \nu \, dx \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_1, \nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2 \rangle - |\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_2, \nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2 \rangle \right) \omega \, dx \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_1|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u_1, \nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2 \rangle - |\nabla u_2|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u_2, \nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2 \rangle \right) \nu \, dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u_1|^{p-2} \Delta u_1 - |\Delta u_2|^{p-2} \Delta u_2 \right) (\Delta u_1 - \Delta u_2) \omega \, dx \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u_1|^{q-2} \Delta u_1 - |\Delta u_2|^{q-2} \Delta u_2 \right) (\Delta u_1 - \Delta u_2) \nu \, dx \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \langle |\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \nabla u_1 - |\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \nabla u_2, \nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2 \rangle \omega \, dx \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \langle |\nabla u_1|^{q-2} \nabla u_1 - |\nabla u_2|^{q-2} \nabla u_2, \nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2 \rangle \nu \, dx \\
&\geq \alpha_p \int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u_1| + |\Delta u_2| \right)^{p-2} |\Delta u_1 - \Delta u_2|^2 \omega \, dx \\
&+ \tilde{\alpha}_p \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_1| + |\nabla u_2| \right)^{p-2} |\nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2|^2 \omega \, dx \\
&+ \alpha_q \int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u_1| + |\Delta u_2| \right)^{q-2} |\Delta u_1 - \Delta u_2|^2 \nu \, dx \\
&+ \tilde{\alpha}_q \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_1| + |\nabla u_2| \right)^{q-2} |\nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2|^2 \nu \, dx \\
&\geq \alpha_p \int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u_1| + |\Delta u_2| \right)^{p-2} |\Delta u_1 - \Delta u_2|^2 \omega \, dx \\
&+ \tilde{\alpha}_p \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_1| + |\nabla u_2| \right)^{p-2} |\nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2|^2 \omega \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\Delta u_1 = \Delta u_2$  and  $\nabla u_1 = \nabla u_2$  a.e. and since  $u_1, u_2 \in X$ , then  $u_1 = u_2$  a.e. (by Remark 2.1).

(III) *Estimate for  $\|u\|_X$ .*

In particular, for  $\varphi = u \in X$  in Definition 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^q \nu \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q \nu \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle G, \nabla u \rangle \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Theorem 2.3 and Remark 3.1 (i), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_X^p &= \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \omega \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^q \nu \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q \nu \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle G, \nabla u \rangle \, dx \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{f}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} + \left\| \frac{|G|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^q(\Omega, \nu)} \\ &\leq C_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{f}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} + C_{p,q} \left\| \frac{|G|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} \\ &\leq \left( C_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{f}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + C_{p,q} \left\| \frac{|G|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \right) \|u\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|u\|_X \leq \left( C_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{f}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + C_{p,q} \left\| \frac{|G|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \right)^{1/(p-1)}.$$

□

**Corollary 3.3.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 with  $2 \leq q < p < \infty$ . If  $u_1, u_2 \in X$  are solutions of*

$$(P_1) \begin{cases} Lu_1(x) = f(x) - \operatorname{div}(G(x)), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_1(x) = \Delta u_1(x) = 0, & \text{in } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(P_2) \begin{cases} Lu_2(x) = \tilde{f}(x) - \operatorname{div}(\tilde{G}(x)), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_2(x) = \Delta u_2(x) = 0, & \text{in } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

then

$$\|u_1 - u_2\|_X \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^{1/(p-1)}} \left( C_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{f - \tilde{f}}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + C_{p,q} \left\| \frac{|G - \tilde{G}|}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \right)^{1/(p-1)},$$

where  $\gamma$  is a positive constant,  $C_{\Omega}$  and  $C_{p,q}$  are the same constants of Theorem 3.2.

*Proof.* If  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are solutions of (P1) and (P2) then for all  $\varphi \in X$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_1|^{p-2} \Delta u_1 \Delta \varphi \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_1|^{q-2} \Delta u_1 \Delta \varphi \nu \, dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_1, \nabla \varphi \rangle \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u_1, \nabla \varphi \rangle \nu \, dx \\
& - \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_2|^{p-2} \Delta u_2 \Delta \varphi \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_2|^{q-2} \Delta u_2 \Delta \varphi \nu \, dx \right. \\
& \left. + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_2, \nabla \varphi \rangle \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_2|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u_2, \nabla \varphi \rangle \nu \, dx \right) \\
& = \int_{\Omega} (f - \tilde{f}) \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle G - \tilde{G}, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx. \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, for  $\varphi = u_1 - u_2$ , we obtain

(i) Since  $2 \leq q < p < \infty$  and by Lemma 2.4 (b), there exist two positive constants  $\alpha_p$  and  $\alpha_q$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u_1|^{p-2} \Delta u_1 - |\Delta u_2|^{p-2} \Delta u_2 \right) \Delta(u_1 - u_2) \omega \, dx \\
& \geq \alpha_p \int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u_1| + |\Delta u_2| \right)^{p-2} |\Delta u_1 - \Delta u_2|^2 \omega \, dx \\
& \geq \alpha_p \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_1 - \Delta u_2|^{p-2} |\Delta u_1 - \Delta u_2|^2 \omega \, dx = \alpha_p \int_{\Omega} |\Delta(u_1 - u_2)|^p \omega \, dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and analogously

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u_1|^{q-2} \Delta u_1 - |\Delta u_2|^{q-2} \Delta u_2 \right) \Delta(u_1 - u_2) \nu \, dx \geq \alpha_q \int_{\Omega} |\Delta(u_1 - u_2)|^q \nu \, dx \geq 0.$$

(ii) Since  $2 \leq q < p < \infty$  and by Lemma 2.4 (b), there exist two positive constants  $\tilde{\alpha}_p$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}_q$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_1, \nabla(u_1 - u_2) \rangle - |\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_2, \nabla(u_1 - u_2) \rangle \right) \omega \, dx \\
& = \int_{\Omega} \langle |\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \nabla u_1 - |\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \nabla u_2, \nabla(u_1 - u_2) \rangle \omega \, dx \\
& \geq \tilde{\alpha}_p \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_1| + |\nabla u_2|)^{p-2} |\nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2|^2 \omega \, dx \\
& \geq \tilde{\alpha}_p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2|^{p-2} |\nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2|^2 \omega \, dx = \tilde{\alpha}_p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^p \omega \, dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_1|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u_1, \nabla(u_1 - u_2) \rangle - |\nabla u_2|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u_2, \nabla(u_1 - u_2) \rangle \right) \nu \, dx \\ & \geq \tilde{\alpha}_q \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^q \nu \, dx \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) By Remark 3.1 (i) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} (f - \tilde{f})(u_1 - u_2) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle G - \tilde{G}, \nabla(u_1 - u_2) \rangle \, dx \right| \\ & \leq \left( C_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{f - \tilde{f}}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + C_{p,q} \left\| \frac{G - \tilde{G}}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \right) \|u_1 - u_2\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, with  $\gamma = \min\{\alpha_p, \tilde{\alpha}_p\}$ , we obtain in (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \|u_1 - u_2\|_X^p & \leq \alpha_p \int_{\Omega} |\Delta(u_1 - u_2)|^p \omega \, dx + \tilde{\alpha}_p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^p \omega \, dx \\ & \leq \left( C_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{f - \tilde{f}}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + C_{p,q} \left\| \frac{G - \tilde{G}}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \right) \|u_1 - u_2\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|u_1 - u_2\|_X \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^{1/(p-1)}} \left( C_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{f - \tilde{f}}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + C_{p,q} \left\| \frac{G - \tilde{G}}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \right)^{1/(p-1)}.$$

□

**Corollary 3.4.** *Assume  $2 \leq q < p < \infty$ . Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 be fulfilled, and let  $\{f_m\}$  and  $\{G_m\}$  be sequences of functions satisfying  $\frac{f_m}{\omega} \rightarrow \frac{f}{\omega}$  in  $L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)$  and  $\left\| \frac{G_m - G}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $u_m \in X$  is a solution of the problem*

$$(P_m) \begin{cases} Lu_m(x) = f_m(x) - \operatorname{div}(G_m(x)), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_m(x) = \Delta u_m(x) = 0, & \text{in } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

then  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $X$  and  $u$  is a solution of problem (P).

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.3 we have

$$\|u_m - u_r\|_X \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^{1/(p-1)}} \left( C_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{f_m - f_r}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + C_{p,q} \left\| \frac{G_m - G_r}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \right)^{1/(p-1)}.$$

Therefore  $\{u_m\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Hence, there is  $u \in X$  such that  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $X$ . We have that  $u$  is a solution of problem  $(P)$ . In fact, since  $u_m$  is a solution of  $(P_m)$ , for all  $\varphi \in X$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{q-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi \nu \, dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \nu \, dx \\
& = \int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u - |\Delta u_m|^{p-2} \Delta u_m \right) \Delta \varphi \omega \, dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u|^{q-2} \Delta u - |\Delta u_m|^{q-2} \Delta u_m \right) \Delta \varphi \nu \, dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle - |\nabla u_m|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_m, \nabla \varphi \rangle \right) \omega \, dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle - |\nabla u_m|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u_m, \nabla \varphi \rangle \right) \nu \, dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_m|^{p-2} \Delta u_m \Delta \varphi \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_m|^{q-2} \Delta u_m \Delta \varphi \nu \, dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_m|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_m, \nabla \varphi \rangle \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_m|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u_m, \nabla \varphi \rangle \nu \, dx \\
& = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + \int_{\Omega} f_m \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle G_m, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx \\
& = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle G, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} (f_m - f) \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle G_m - G, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx, \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u - |\Delta u_m|^{p-2} \Delta u_m \right) \Delta \varphi \omega \, dx, \\
I_2 &= \int_{\Omega} \left( |\Delta u|^{q-2} \Delta u - |\Delta u_m|^{q-2} \Delta u_m \right) \Delta \varphi \nu \, dx, \\
I_3 &= \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle - |\nabla u_m|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_m, \nabla \varphi \rangle \right) \omega \, dx, \\
I_4 &= \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle - |\nabla u_m|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u_m, \nabla \varphi \rangle \right) \nu \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We have that:



(1) By Lemma 2.4 (a) there exists  $C_p > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left| |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u - |\Delta u_m|^{p-2} \Delta u_m \right| |\Delta \varphi| \omega \, dx \\ &\leq C_p \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u - \Delta u_m| (|\Delta u| + |\Delta u_m|)^{p-2} |\Delta \varphi| \omega \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $r = p/(p-2)$ . Since  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$ , by the Generalized Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq C_p \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u - \Delta u_m|^p \omega \, dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi|^p \omega \, dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u| + |\Delta u_m|)^{(p-2)r} \omega \, dx \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq C_p \|u - u_m\|_X \|\varphi\|_X \|\Delta u + \Delta u_m\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)}^{(p-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $X$ , then exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $\|u_m\|_X \leq M$ . Hence,

$$\|\Delta u + \Delta u_m\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} \leq \|u\|_X + \|u_m\|_X \leq 2M. \quad (3.8)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq C_p (2M)^{p-2} \|u - u_m\|_X \|\varphi\|_X \\ &= C_1 \|u - u_m\|_X \|\varphi\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, there exists a constant  $C_3$  such that

$$|I_3| \leq C_3 \|u - u_m\|_X \|\varphi\|_X.$$

(2) By Lemma 2.4 (a) there exists a positive constant  $C_q$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left| |\Delta u|^{q-2} \Delta u - |\Delta u_m|^{q-2} \Delta u_m \right| |\Delta \varphi| \nu \, dx \\ &\leq C_q \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u - \Delta u_m| (|\Delta u| + |\Delta u_m|)^{q-2} |\Delta \varphi| \nu \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $s = q/(q-2)$  (if  $2 < q < p < \infty$ ). Since  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ , by the Generalized Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq C_q \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u - \Delta u_m|^q \nu \, dx \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi|^q \nu \, dx \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u| + |\Delta u_m|)^{(q-2)s} \nu \, dx \right)^{1/s} \\ &= C_q \|\Delta u - \Delta u_m\|_{L^q(\Omega, \nu)} \|\Delta \varphi\|_{L^q(\Omega, \nu)} \|\Delta u + \Delta u_m\|_{L^q(\Omega, \nu)}^{q-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Remark 3.1 (i) and (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq C_q C_{p,q} \|\Delta u - \Delta u_m\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} C_{p,q} \|\Delta \varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} C_{p,q}^{q-2} \|\Delta u\| + \|\Delta u_m\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)}^{q-2} \\ &\leq C_q C_{p,q}^q \|u - u_m\|_X \|\varphi\|_X (2M)^{q-2} \\ &= C_2 \|u - u_m\|_X \|\varphi\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, there exists a positive constant  $C_4$  such that

$$|I_4| \leq C_4 \|u - u_m\|_X \|\varphi\|_X.$$

In case  $q = 2$ , we have  $|I_2|, |I_4| \leq C_{p,2}^2 \|u - u_m\|_X \|\varphi\|_X$ .  
Therefore, we have  $I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4 \rightarrow 0$  when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

(3) We also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\Omega} (f_m - f) \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle G_m - G, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx \right| \\ &\left( C_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{f_m - f}{\omega} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + C_{p,q} \left\| \frac{G_m - G}{\nu} \right\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega, \nu)} \right) \|\varphi\|_X \\ &\rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Therefore, in (3.7), we obtain when  $m \rightarrow \infty$  that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{q-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi \nu \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{q-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \nu \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle G, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $u$  is a solution of problem (P).  $\square$

**Example** Let  $\Omega = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$ ,  $w(x, y) = (x^2 + y^2)^{-1/2}$  ( $\omega \in A_4$ ,  $p = 4$  and  $q = 3$ ),  $\nu(x, y) = (x^2 + y^2)^{-1/3}$ ,  $f(x, y) = \frac{\cos(xy)}{(x^2 + y^2)^{1/6}}$  and

$G(x, y) = \left( \frac{\sin(x+y)}{(x^2 + y^2)^{1/6}}, \frac{\sin(xy)}{(x^2 + y^2)^{1/6}} \right)$ . By Theorem 3.2, the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \left[ (x^2 + y^2)^{-1/2} |\Delta u|^2 \Delta u + (x^2 + y^2)^{-1/3} |\Delta u| \Delta u \right] \\ - \operatorname{div} \left[ (x^2 + y^2)^{-1/2} |\nabla u|^2 \nabla u + (x^2 + y^2)^{-1/3} |\nabla u| \nabla u \right] \\ = f(x) - \operatorname{div}(G(x)), \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u(x) = \Delta u = 0, \quad \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution  $u \in W^{2,4}(\Omega, \omega) \cap W_0^{1,4}(\Omega, \omega)$ .

## References

- [1] A.C.Cavalheiro, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions for some degenerate nonlinear Dirichlet problems*, Journal of Applied Analysis, 19 (2013), 41-54.
- [2] M. Chipot, *Elliptic Equations: An Introductory Course*, Birkhäuser, Berlin (2009).
- [3] P. Drábek, A. Kufner and F. Nicolosi, *Quasilinear Elliptic Equations with Degenerations and Singularities*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin (1997).
- [4] E. Fabes, C. Kenig, R. Serapioni, *The local regularity of solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Comm. PDEs 7 (1982), 77-116.
- [5] J. Garcia-Cuerva and J.L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 116, (1985).
- [6] D.Gilbarg and N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Equations of Second Order*, 2nd Ed., Springer, New York (1983).
- [7] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen and O. Martio, *Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations*, Oxford Math. Monographs, Clarendon Press, (1993).
- [8] B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 165 (1972), 207-226.
- [9] E. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis*, Princeton University Press, New Jersey (1993).
- [10] A. Torchinsky, *Real-Variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis*, Academic Press, San Diego, (1986).
- [11] B.O. Turesson, *Nonlinear Potential Theory and Weighted Sobolev Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1736, Springer-Verlag, (2000).
- [12] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications*, Vol.II/B, Springer-Verlag, New York (1990).