

# ON THE WEAK AND PARETO QUASI-EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEMS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

Bui The Hung

*Department of Mathematics  
Thai Nguyen University of Education  
Thai Nguyen, Vietnam  
e-mail: hungbt.math@gmail.com*

## Abstract

In this paper, we apply a version of Kakutani's fixed point theorem to study weak and Pareto quasi-equilibrium problems. Some sufficient conditions on the existence of solutions of weak and Pareto quasi-equilibrium problems with multivalued mappings are shown. As applications, we give several results on the existence of solutions to vector quasivariational inequalities problems and vector Pareto quasi-saddle problems.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $D$  be a nonempty subset in a real topological vector space  $X$  and  $f : D \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function such that  $f(x, x) = 0$ , for all  $x \in D$ . The problem of finding

$$\bar{x} \in D, \text{ such that } f(\bar{x}, x) \geq 0, \text{ for all } x \in D,$$

is called a scalar equilibrium problem. This problem generalizes many well-known problems in the optimization theory such as variational inequalities, fixed point problems, complementarity problems, saddle point problems, minimax problems (see [2], [5], [8], [10], [13]).

---

**Key words:** Quasi-equilibrium problems, quasivariational inequalities problems, quasi-saddle problems, upper and lower  $C$ -convex, upper and lower  $C$ -quasiconvex-like multivalued mappings, upper and lower  $C$ -continuous multivalued mappings,  $C$ -pseudomonotone and  $C$ -strong pseudomonotone multivalued mappings.

AMS Classification 2010: 49J40 - 47H04 - 49J53.

Now, let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be Hausdorff locally convex topological vector spaces, let  $D \subset X, K \subset Z$  be nonempty subsets and let  $C \subset Y$  be a cone. We denote  $l(C) = C \cap (-C)$ . If  $l(C) = \{0\}$ ,  $C$  is said to be pointed. In this paper, we assume that  $C$  is a convex closed pointed cone in  $Y$ . Given the following multivalued mappings

$$\begin{aligned} S &: D \times K \rightarrow 2^D, \\ T &: D \times K \rightarrow 2^K, \\ F &: K \times D \times D \rightarrow 2^Y, \end{aligned}$$

we consider the following quasi-equilibrium problems:

(PQEP), Pareto quasi-equilibrium problem: Find  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x} &\in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \\ \bar{y} &\in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \\ F(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, x) &\not\subseteq -C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}). \end{aligned}$$

(WQEP), Weak quasi-equilibrium problem: Find  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x} &\in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \\ \bar{y} &\in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \\ F(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, x) &\not\subseteq -\text{int}(C), \text{ for all } x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}). \end{aligned}$$

The above problems are natural generalizations of the above scalar equilibrium problem (see [3], [7], [12]). The purpose of this paper is to prove some new results on the existence of solutions to weak and Pareto quasi-equilibrium problems.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper,  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  we denote real Hausdorff locally convex topological vector spaces. The space of real numbers is denoted by  $\mathbb{R}$ . Given a subset  $D \subset X$ , we consider a multivalued mapping  $F : D \rightarrow 2^Y$ . The definition domain and the graph of  $F$  are denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom}F &= \{x \in D : F(x) \neq \emptyset\}, \\ \text{Gr}(F) &= \{(x, y) \in D \times Y : y \in F(x)\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. We recall that  $F$  is said to be a closed mapping if the graph  $\text{Gr}(F)$  of  $F$  is a closed subset in the product space  $X \times Y$  and it is said to be a compact mapping if the closure  $\overline{F(D)}$  of its range  $F(D)$  is a compact set in  $Y$ . A multivalued mapping  $F : D \rightarrow 2^Y$  is said to be upper(lower) semicontinuous

in  $\bar{x} \in D$  if for each open set  $V$  containing  $F(\bar{x})$  (respectively,  $F(\bar{x}) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ ) there exists an open set  $U$  of  $\bar{x}$  such that  $F(x) \subseteq V$  (respectively,  $F(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ ) for all  $x \in U$ .

Now, let  $Y$  be a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space with a cone  $C$ . Firstly, we recall the following definitions which will be used in the main results.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $F : D \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a multivalued mapping.

(i)  $F$  is said to be upper (lower)  $C$ -continuous in  $\bar{x} \in \text{dom } F$  if for any neighborhood  $V$  of the origin in  $Y$  there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\bar{x}$  such that:

$$F(x) \subseteq F(\bar{x}) + V + C$$

$$(F(\bar{x}) \subseteq F(x) + V - C, \text{ respectively})$$

holds for all  $x \in U \cap \text{dom}F$ .

(ii) If  $F$  is upper  $C$ -continuous and lower  $C$ -continuous in  $\bar{x}$  simultaneously, we say that it is  $C$ -continuous in  $\bar{x}$ .

(iii) If  $F$  is upper, lower, ...,  $C$ -continuous in any point of  $\text{dom}F$ , we say that it is upper, lower, ...,  $C$ -continuous on  $D$ .

(iv) In the case  $C = \{0\}$ , a trivial one in  $Y$ , we shall only say that  $F$  is upper, lower continuous instead of upper, lower 0-continuous. And,  $F$  is continuous if it is upper and lower continuous simultaneously.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $F$  be a multivalued mapping from  $D$  to  $2^Y$ . We say that:

(i)  $F$  is upper (lower)  $C$ -convex on  $D$  if for any  $x_1, x_2 \in D, t \in [0, 1]$ , we have:

$$tF(x_1) + (1 - t)F(x_2) \subseteq F(tx_1 + (1 - t)x_2) + C$$

$$(\text{respectively, } F(tx_1 + (1 - t)x_2) \subseteq tF(x_1) + (1 - t)F(x_2) - C).$$

(ii)  $F$  is upper (lower)  $C$ -quasiconvex-like on  $D$  if for any  $x_1, x_2 \in D, \alpha \in [0, 1]$ , either

$$F(x_1) \subseteq F(\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2) + C$$

or,

$$F(x_2) \subseteq F(\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2) + C$$

$$(\text{respectively, either } F(\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2) \subseteq F(x_1) - C$$

or,

$$F(\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2) \subseteq F(x_2) - C)$$

holds.

In [6], Ferro has some examples to show that there is a upper (lower)  $C$ -convex multivalued mapping which is not upper (lower)  $C$ -quasiconvex-like and conversely, there is also a upper (lower)  $C$ -quasiconvex-like multivalued mapping which is not upper (lower)  $C$ -convex.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $F$  be a multivalued mapping from  $D$  to  $2^Y$ . We say that:

(i)  $F$  is upper (lower)  $C$ -hemicontinuous if for any  $x, y \in D$ , the following implication holds:  $F(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \cap C \neq \emptyset$ , for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  implies that  $F(y) \cap C(y) \neq \emptyset$  (respectively,  $F(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C$ , for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  implies that  $F(y) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(y)$ ).

(ii) A multivalued mapping  $F : D \rightarrow 2^Y$  is said to be upper (lower) hemicontinuous if for any  $x, y \in D$ , the multivalued mapping  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^Y$  defined by  $f(\alpha) = F(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y)$  is upper (respectively, lower) semicontinuous.

**Proposition 2.4.** (See [5]) Assume that  $F : D \rightarrow 2^Y$  is a upper hemicontinuous with nonempty compact values. Then  $F$  is upper  $C$ -hemicontinuous.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $F : D \times D \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a multivalued mapping. We say that:

(i)  $F$  is  $C$ - pseudomonotone if for any  $x, y \in D$

$$F(y, x) \not\subseteq -\text{int}(C) \implies F(x, y) \subseteq -C.$$

(ii)  $F$  is  $C$ - strong pseudomonotone if for any  $x, y \in D$

$$F(y, x) \not\subseteq -C \setminus \{0\} \implies F(x, y) \subseteq -C.$$

**Remark 2.6.** If  $Y = \mathbb{R}, C = \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $F$  is a single-valued mapping then the strongly  $C$ - pseudomonotonicity and  $C$ - pseudomonotonicity of  $F$  become definition for pseudomonotonicity of  $F$  in [11].

**Example 2.7.** Let  $D = \mathbb{R}, Y = \mathbb{R}^2, C = \{(t_1; t_2) : t_1 \geq 0, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$  and  $F(x, y) = \{(x - y; 0)\}$ . Then  $F$  is  $C$ - pseudomonotone and  $C$ - strong pseudomonotone.

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $F : D \rightarrow 2^D$  be a multivalued mapping. We say that  $F$  is a KKM mapping if for each  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq D$ , one has

$$\text{co}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n F(x_i).$$

The proofs of the following lemmas can be found in [5].

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $F : D \times D \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a multivalued mapping with nonempty values and  $F(x, x) \cap C \neq \emptyset$  for any  $x \in D$ . In addition, assume that

(i) For any fixed  $x \in D, F(., x) : D \rightarrow 2^Y$  is upper  $C$ -hemicontinuous;

(ii)  $F$  is  $C$ -strong pseudomonotone;

(iii) For any fixed  $x \in D, F(x, .) : D \rightarrow 2^Y$  is lower  $C$ -convex ( or, lower  $C$ -quasiconvex-like).

Then, for any  $y \in D$ , the following are equivalent.

1)  $F(y, x) \not\subseteq -C \setminus \{0\}$ , for all  $x \in D$ ;

2)  $F(x, y) \subseteq -C$ , for all  $x \in D$ .

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $F : D \times D \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a multivalued mapping with nonempty values and  $F(x, x) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C$  for any  $x \in D$ . In addition, assume that*

- (i) *For any fixed  $x \in D$ ,  $F(\cdot, x) : D \rightarrow 2^Y$  is lower  $C$ -hemicontinuous;*
- (ii)  *$F$  is  $C$ -pseudomonotone;*
- (iii) *For any fixed  $x \in D$ ,  $F(x, \cdot) : D \rightarrow 2^Y$  is lower  $C$ -convex.*

*Then, for any  $y \in D$ , the followings are equivalent:*

- 1)  *$F(y, x) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C$ , for all  $x \in D$ ;*
- 2)  *$F(x, y) \subseteq -C$ , for all  $x \in D$ .*

In the proof of the main results in Section 3, we need the following theorems.

**Theorem 2.11.** *(See [4]) Assume that  $X$  is a topological vector space,  $D \subseteq X$  is nonempty convex compact and  $F : D \rightarrow 2^D$  is a KKM mapping with closed values. Then, we have*

$$\bigcap_{x \in D} F(x) \neq \emptyset.$$

**Theorem 2.12.** *(Kakutani fixed point theorem, see [1]) Let  $D$  be a nonempty convex compact subset and  $F : D \rightarrow 2^D$  be a multivalued mapping closed with nonempty convex values. Then there exists  $\bar{x} \in D$  such that  $\bar{x} \in F(\bar{x})$ .*

### 3 Main Results

Throughout this section, unless otherwise specify, by  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  we denote Hausdorff locally convex topological vector spaces. Let  $D \subset X, K \subset Z$  be nonempty subsets,  $C$  is a convex closed pointed cone in  $Y$ . Given the following multivalued mappings

$$\begin{aligned} S : D \times K &\longrightarrow 2^D, \\ T : D \times K &\longrightarrow 2^K, \\ F : K \times D \times D &\longrightarrow 2^Y, \end{aligned}$$

we prove that following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $D$  and  $K$  be nonempty convex compact subsets of Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space  $X$  and  $Z$ , respectively. Assume that the multivalued mapping  $F$  with nonempty values and  $F(y, x, x) \cap C \neq \emptyset$ , for all  $(x, y) \in D \times K$ . In addition, assume that:*

- (i)  *$S$  is a continuous multivalued mapping with nonempty convex closed values;*
- (ii)  *$T$  is a upper semicontinuous multivalued mapping with nonempty convex closed values;*
- (iii) *For each  $y \in K$ ,  $F(y, \cdot, \cdot) : D \times D \rightarrow 2^Y$  is  $C$ -strong pseudomonotone;*
- (iv) *For any fixed  $(x, y) \in D \times K$ , the multivalued mapping  $F(y, x, \cdot) : D \rightarrow 2^Y$  is lower  $C$ -convex (or, lower  $C$ -quasiconvex-like);*

(v)  $F$  is lower  $C$ -continuous and for any fixed  $(y, z) \in K \times D$ ,  $F(y, \cdot, z)$  is upper  $C$ -hemicontinuous.

Then there exists  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$  such that  $\bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ ,  $\bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  and

$$F(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, x) \not\subseteq -C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

*Proof.* We define the multivalued mapping  $M : D \times K \rightarrow 2^D$  by

$$M(x, y) = \{x' \in S(x, y) : F(y, z, x') \subseteq -C, \text{ for all } z \in S(x, y)\}.$$

For each  $(x, y) \in D \times K$ , we will show that  $M(x, y)$  is nonempty set. Indeed, for each  $(x, y) \in D \times K$ , we define the multivalued mapping  $Q_{xy} : S(x, y) \rightarrow 2^{S(x, y)}$  by

$$Q_{xy}(z) = \{x' \in S(x, y) : F(y, z, x') \subseteq -C\}.$$

Let  $\{x'_\alpha\}$  be a net in  $Q_{xy}(z)$ ,  $x'_\alpha \rightarrow x'$ . We have  $x'_\alpha \in S(x, y)$  and  $F(y, z, x'_\alpha) \subseteq -C$ . Since  $S(x, y)$  is a closed set, so  $x' \in S(x, y)$ . On the other hand,  $F$  is lower  $C$ -continuous, for any neighborhood  $V$  of the origin in  $Y$ , there exists an index  $\alpha_0$  such that

$$F(y, z, x') \subseteq F(y, z, x'_\alpha) - C + V, \text{ for all } \alpha \geq \alpha_0.$$

This implies that

$$F(y, z, x') \subseteq -C + V.$$

Since  $C$  is closed, we have

$$F(y, z, x') \subseteq -C.$$

Hence  $x' \in Q_{xy}(z)$  and  $Q_{xy}(z)$  is closed set.

Now we show that  $Q_{xy}$  is a KKM type mapping. If not, then there exists  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq S(x, y)$  such that

$$\text{co}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n Q_{xy}(x_i).$$

Hence there exists  $x^* \in \text{co}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $x^* \notin Q_{xy}(x_i)$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

This implies

$$F(y, x_i, x^*) \not\subseteq -C, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since  $F(y, \cdot, \cdot)$  is  $C$ -strong pseudomonotone, we deduce that

$$F(y, x^*, x_i) \subseteq -C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since  $F(y, x, \cdot)$  is lower  $C$ -convex (or, lower  $C$ -quasiconvex-like), we imply

$$F(y, x^*, x^*) \subseteq -C \setminus \{0\}.$$

This contradicts with  $F(y, x, x) \cap C \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $Q_{xy}$  is a *KKM* mapping.

By Theorem 2.11, we have  $\bigcap_{z \in S(x, y)} Q_{xy}(z) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence, there exists  $x' \in S(x, y)$  such that  $F(y, z, x') \subseteq -C$ , for all  $z \in S(x, y)$ . Thus,  $M(x, y) \neq \emptyset$ .

We show that  $M(x, y)$  is convex set. Indeed, let  $x'_1, x'_2 \in M(x, y)$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have from the convexity of  $S(x, y)$ ,  $tx'_1 + (1-t)x'_2 \in S(x, y)$  and

$$F(y, z, x'_1) \subseteq -C,$$

$$F(y, z, x'_2) \subseteq -C, \text{ for all } z \in S(x, y).$$

Since  $F(y, x, \cdot)$  is lower  $C$ -convex (or, lower  $C$ -quasiconvex-like), we conclude

$$F(y, z, tx'_1 + (1-t)x'_2) \subseteq -C, \text{ for all } z \in S(x, y).$$

This shows  $tx'_1 + (1-t)x'_2 \in M(x, y)$  and  $M(x, y)$  is a convex set.

Further, we claim that  $M$  is a closed multivalued mapping. Let  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x, y_\alpha \rightarrow y, x'_\alpha \in M(x_\alpha, y_\alpha), x'_\alpha \rightarrow x'$ . We show that  $x' \in M(x, y)$ . Indeed, since  $x'_\alpha \in S(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)$  and the upper semicontinuity of  $S$  with closed values,  $x' \in S(x, y)$ . For  $x'_\alpha \in M(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)$ , we have

$$F(y_\alpha, z, x'_\alpha) \subseteq -C, \text{ for all } z \in S(x_\alpha, y_\alpha).$$

For each  $z \in S(x, y)$ , by the lower semicontinuity of  $S$ , there exists  $z_\alpha \in S(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)$  such that  $z_\alpha \rightarrow z$ . We have

$$F(y_\alpha, z_\alpha, x'_\alpha) \subseteq -C.$$

Since  $F$  is lower  $C$ -continuous, for any neighborhood  $V$  of the origin in  $Y$ , there exists an index  $\alpha_0$  such that

$$F(y, z, x') \subseteq F(y_\alpha, z_\alpha, x'_\alpha) - C + V, \text{ for all } \alpha \geq \alpha_0.$$

This implies that

$$F(y, z, x') \subseteq -C + V.$$

Since  $C$  is closed, we have

$$F(y, z, x') \subseteq -C.$$

This means that  $x' \in M(x, y)$  and  $M$  is a closed multivalued mapping.

Lastly, we define the multivalued mapping  $P : D \times K \rightarrow 2^{D \times K}$  by

$$P(x, y) = M(x, y) \times T(x, y)$$

We can easily verify that  $P$  is a closed multivalued mapping with nonempty convex values. Moreover, since  $D \times K$  is a compact set, we have that  $P$  is also a upper semicontinuous multivalued mapping with nonempty convex

closed values. Applying the fixed point theorem of Kakutani type, there exists  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in P(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ . This implies  $\bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ ,  $\bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  and

$$F(\bar{y}, x, \bar{x}) \subseteq -C, \text{ for all } x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

We use Lemma 2.9 with  $D$  replaced by  $S(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ , we have  $\bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ ,  $\bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  and

$$F(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, x) \not\subseteq -C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

The proof of the corollary is complete.  $\square$

By using Lemma 2.10 and the proof is similar as the one of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $D$  and  $K$  be nonempty convex compact subsets of Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space  $X$  and  $Z$ , respectively. Assume that the multivalued mapping  $F$  with nonempty values and  $F(y, x, x) \not\subseteq -\text{int}(C)$ , for all  $(x, y) \in D \times K$ . In addition, assume that:*

(i)  *$S$  is a continuous multivalued mapping with nonempty convex closed values;*

(ii)  *$T$  is a upper semicontinuous multivalued mapping with nonempty convex closed values;*

(iii) *For any fixed  $y \in K$ ,  $F(y, \cdot, \cdot) : D \times D \rightarrow 2^Y$  is  $C$ -pseudomonotone;*

(iv) *For any fixed  $(x, y) \in D \times K$ ,  $F(y, x, \cdot) : D \rightarrow 2^Y$  is lower  $C$ -convex;*

(v)  *$F$  is lower  $C$ -continuous and for any fixed  $(y, z) \in K \times D$ ,  $F(y, \cdot, z)$  is lower  $C$ -hemicontinuous.*

*Then there exists  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$  such that  $\bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ ,  $\bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  and*

$$F(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, x) \not\subseteq -\text{int}(C), \text{ for all } x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

**Remark 3.3.** The assumption (v) in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 can be replaced by the following condition:

(v') The set  $\{(x, y, z) \in D \times K \times D : F(y, x, z) \subseteq -C\}$  is closed in  $D \times K \times D$ .

## 4 System of quasi-equilibrium problems

Now, given  $D, K, C, S, T$  as above and  $G : K \times D \times D \rightarrow 2^Y, H : D \times K \times K \rightarrow 2^Y$  are multivalued mappings with nonempty values. We consider the following problems:

(SPQEP), System of Pareto quasi-equilibrium problems: Find  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$  such that

$$\bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

and

$$G(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, x) \not\subseteq -C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}),$$



$$H(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, y) \not\subseteq -C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } y \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

(SWQEP), System of weak quasi-equilibrium problems: Find  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$  such that

$$\bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

and

$$G(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, x) \not\subseteq -\text{int}(C), \text{ for all } x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}),$$

$$H(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, y) \not\subseteq -\text{int}(C), \text{ for all } y \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $D$  and  $K$  be nonempty convex compact subsets of Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space  $X$  and  $Z$ , respectively. Assume that the multivalued mappings  $G, H$  with nonempty values and  $G(y, x, x) \cap C \neq \emptyset, H(x, y, y) \cap C \neq \emptyset$  for all  $(x, y) \in D \times K$ . The following conditions are sufficient for (SPQEP) to have a solution:*

- (i)  $S, T$  are continuous multivalued mappings with nonempty convex closed values;
- (ii)  $G(y, \cdot, \cdot), H(x, \cdot, \cdot)$  are  $C$ -strong pseudomonotone, for any fixed  $(x, y) \in D \times K$ ;
- (iii)  $G(y, x, \cdot) : D \rightarrow 2^Y, H(x, y, \cdot) : K \rightarrow 2^Y$  are lower  $C$ -convex (or, lower  $C$ -quasiconvex), for every  $(x, y) \in D \times K$  fixed;
- (iv)  $G(y, \cdot, x), H(x, \cdot, y)$  are upper  $C$ -hemicontinuous, for any fixed  $(x, y) \in D \times K$ ;
- (v)  $G, H$  are lower  $C$ -continuous.

*Proof.* We define the multivalued mappings  $M_1 : D \times K \rightarrow 2^D, M_2 : D \times K \rightarrow 2^K$  by

$$M_1(x, y) = \{x' \in S(x, y) : G(y, z, x') \subseteq -C, \text{ for all } z \in S(x, y)\}.$$

$$M_2(x, y) = \{y' \in T(x, y) : H(x, t, y') \subseteq -C, \text{ for all } t \in T(x, y)\}.$$

Then we can easily prove that  $M_1, M_2$  are closed mappings with nonempty convex values. Now, we define the multivalued mapping  $M : D \times K \rightarrow 2^{D \times K}$  by

$$M(x, y) = M_1(x, y) \times M_2(x, y).$$

Then  $M$  is closed mapping with nonempty convex. Applying theorem fixed point Kakutani type, there exists  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$  such that  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in M(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ . This implies,  $\bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  and

$$G(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}) \subseteq -C, \text{ for all } \bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}),$$

$$H(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}) \subseteq -C, \text{ for all } \bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

Since  $G(y, \cdot, \cdot), H(x, \cdot, \cdot)$  are  $C$ -strong pseudomonotone, we have

$$G(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, x) \not\subseteq -C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}),$$

$$H(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, y) \not\subseteq -C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } y \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

The proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

By exploiting the similar arguments used in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Assume that  $D$  and  $K$  are nonempty convex compact subsets of Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space  $X$  and  $Z$ , respectively. Let  $F, G$  be set-valued maps with nonempty values and  $G(y, x, x) \not\subseteq -\text{int}(C)$ ,  $H(x, y, y) \not\subseteq -\text{int}(C)$  for all  $(x, y) \in D \times K$ . The following conditions are sufficient for (SWQEP) to have a solution:*

- (i)  $S, T$  are continuous multivalued mappings with nonempty convex closed values;
- (ii) For any fixed  $(x, y) \in D \times K$ ,  $G(y, \cdot, \cdot), H(x, \cdot, \cdot)$  are  $C$ -pseudomonotone;
- (iii) For every  $(x, y) \in D \times K$  fixed, the multivalued mappings  $G(y, x, \cdot) : D \rightarrow 2^Y, H(x, y, \cdot) : K \rightarrow 2^Y$  are lower  $C$ -convex;
- (iv) For any fixed  $(x, y) \in D \times K$ ,  $G(y, \cdot, x), H(x, \cdot, y)$  are lower  $C$ -hemicontinuous;
- (v)  $G, H$  are lower  $C$ -continuous.

## 5 Applications to vector quasi-variational inequalities problems

In this section, we apply the obtained results in Section 3 to vector quasi-variational inequalities problems with multivalued mappings. Let  $L(X, Y)$  be the set of all continuous linear mappings from  $X$  into  $Y$  and  $f(x)$  denote the value of  $f$  at  $x$  where  $f \in L(X, Y), x \in X$ . Let  $D \subset X, K \subset Z$  be nonempty subsets, let  $\phi : D \rightarrow Y$  be a single valued mapping and  $S : D \times K \rightarrow 2^D, T : D \times K \rightarrow 2^K, G : D \times K \rightarrow 2^{L(X, Y)}$  be multivalued mappings. In addition, assume that  $C$  is a pointed convex closed cone in  $Y$ . We consider the following problem:

Vector weak quasi-variational inequalities problem: Find  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$  such that

$$\bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

and

$$G(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(x - \bar{x}) + \phi(x) - \phi(\bar{x}) \not\subseteq -\text{int}(C), \text{ for all } x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

Vector Pareto quasi-variational inequalities problem: Find  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$  such that

$$\bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

and

$$G(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(x - \bar{x}) + \phi(x) - \phi(\bar{x}) \not\subseteq -C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $F : D \rightarrow 2^{L(X,Y)}$  be a multivalued mapping. We say that:

(i)  $F$  is  $C$ -pseudomonotone with respect to  $\phi$  if for any given  $x, z \in D$

$$F(x)(x - z) + \phi(z) - \phi(x) \not\subseteq -\text{int}(C) \implies F(z)(z - x) + \phi(x) - \phi(z) \subseteq -C.$$

(ii)  $F$  is  $C$ -strong pseudomonotone with respect to  $\phi$  if for any given  $x, z \in D$

$$F(x)(x - z) + \phi(z) - \phi(x) \not\subseteq -C \setminus \{0\} \implies F(z)(z - x) + \phi(x) - \phi(z) \subseteq -C.$$

**Corollary 5.2.** Let  $D, K, S, T$  be the same as in Theorem 3.1. In addition, assume that:

(i) The mapping  $\phi$  is lower  $C$ -convex;

(ii) For any fixed  $y \in K$ , the mapping  $G(\cdot, y) : D \rightarrow 2^{L(X,Y)}$  is  $C$ -strong pseudomonotone with respect to  $\phi$ ;

(iii) For any fixed  $(y, z) \in K \times D$ , the mapping  $x \mapsto G(x, y)(z - x) + \phi(z) - \phi(x)$  is upper  $C$ -hemicontinuous;

(iv) The set  $\{(x, y, z) \in D \times K \times D : G(x, y)(z - x) + \phi(z) - \phi(x) \subseteq -C\}$  is closed in  $D \times K \times D$ .

Then the above vector Pareto quasi-variational inequalities problem has a solution.

*Proof.* The proof of this corollary follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.3 by taking  $F(y, x, z) = G(x, y)(z - x) + \phi(z) - \phi(x)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3.** Let  $D, K, S, T$  be the same as in Theorem 3.2. In addition, assume that:

(i) The mapping  $\phi$  is lower  $C$ -convex;

(ii) For any fixed  $y \in K$ , the mapping  $G(\cdot, y) : D \rightarrow 2^{L(X,Y)}$  is  $C$ -pseudomonotone with respect to  $\phi$ ;

(iii) For any fixed  $(y, z) \in K \times D$ , the mapping  $x \mapsto G(x, y)(z - x) + \phi(z) - \phi(x)$  is lower  $C$ -hemicontinuous;

(iv) The set  $\{(x, y, z) \in D \times K \times D : G(x, y)(z - x) + \phi(z) - \phi(x) \subseteq -C\}$  is closed in  $D \times K \times D$ .

Then the above vector weak quasi-variational inequalities problem has a solution.

*Proof.* The proof of this corollary follows immediately from Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.3 by taking  $F(y, x, z) = G(x, y)(z - x) + \phi(z) - \phi(x)$ .  $\square$

## 6 Applications to vector Pareto quasi-saddle problems

Let  $D \subset X, K \subset Z$  be nonempty subsets, let  $f : D \times K \rightarrow Y$  be a single valued mapping and  $S : D \times K \rightarrow 2^D, T : D \times K \rightarrow 2^K$  be multivalued

mappings. In addition, assume that  $C$  is a pointed convex closed cone in  $Y$  satisfying :  $Y = C + (-C)$ . We consider the following problem.

Vector Pareto quasi-saddle problem: Find  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$  such that

$$\bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

and

$$f(x, \bar{y}) \notin f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) - C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}),$$

$$f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \notin f(\bar{x}, y) - C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } y \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

Using the results obtained in the previous section, we establish a existence result for solutions of this problem.

**Corollary 6.1.** *Let  $D, K, S, T$  be the same as in Theorem 4.1. In addition, assume that:*

(i) *The mapping  $f$  is  $(-C)$ -continuous and  $C$ -continuous;*

(ii) *For any fixed  $(x, y) \in D \times K$ , the mapping  $f(\cdot, y) : D \rightarrow Y$  is  $C$ -concave (or,  $C$ -quasiconcave-like) and  $f(x, \cdot) : K \rightarrow Y$  is  $C$ -convex (or,  $C$ -quasiconvex-like).*

*Then the above vector Pareto quasi-saddle problem has a solution.*

*Proof.* We define the single valued mappings  $G : K \times D \times D \rightarrow Y, H : D \times K \times K \rightarrow Y$  by

$$G(y, x, z) = f(z, y) - f(x, y), H(x, y, t) = f(x, y) - f(x, t).$$

Then, the vector Pareto quasi-saddle problem becomes to find  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$  such that

$$\bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

and

$$G(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, x) \not\subseteq -C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}),$$

$$H(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, y) \not\subseteq -C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } y \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

First of all, we show that  $G(y, \cdot, z)$  is upper  $C$ -hemicontinuous. Indeed, assume that

$$G(y, \alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2, z) \cap C \neq \emptyset, \text{ for all } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

This implies

$$[f(z, y) - f(\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2, y)] \cap C \neq \emptyset, \text{ for all } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

By  $f$  is  $(-C)$ -continuous, for an arbitrary neighborhood  $V$  of the origin in  $Y$ , we have

$$f(\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2, y) \in f(x_2, y) + V + C.$$

This implies

$$[f(z, y) - f(x_2, y) - V - C] \cap C \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence, we have

$$[f(z, y) - f(x_2, y) + V] \cap C \neq \emptyset.$$

This gives

$$[f(z, y) - f(x_2, y)] \cap C \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence,  $G(y, \cdot, z)$  is upper  $C$ -hemicontinuous. By the similar arguments used in the above proof, we conclude that  $H(x, \cdot, t)$  is upper  $C$ -hemicontinuous.

Now, we show that  $G(y, \cdot, \cdot)$  is strong  $C$ -pseudomonotone. Suppose  $G(y, x, z) \not\subseteq -C \setminus \{0\}$  namely,  $f(z, y) - f(x, y) \notin -C \setminus \{0\}$  and hence  $f(x, y) - f(z, y) \notin C \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $Y = C + (-C)$ , we conclude that  $f(x, y) - f(z, y) \in -C$ . Therefore  $G(y, z, x) \subseteq -C$ . Hence  $G(y, \cdot, \cdot)$  is strong  $C$ -pseudomonotone. By the similar arguments used in the above proof, we conclude that  $H(x, \cdot, \cdot)$  is strong  $C$ -pseudomonotone.

Next, we show that for any fixed  $(x, y) \in D \times K$ ,  $G(y, x, \cdot)$  is lower  $C$ -convex (or, lower  $C$ -quasiconvex-like). Let  $z_1, z_2 \in D$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , if  $f(\cdot, y)$  is  $C$ -concave, then we have

$G(y, x, \alpha z_1 + (1 - \alpha)z_2) = f(\alpha z_1 + (1 - \alpha)z_2, y) - f(x, y) \in \alpha f(z_1, y) + (1 - \alpha)f(z_2, y) - f(x, y) - C = \alpha G(y, x, z_1) + (1 - \alpha)G(y, x, z_2) - C$ . Hence  $G(y, x, \cdot)$  is lower  $C$ -convex. If  $f(x, \cdot)$  is  $C$ -quasiconcave-like, we also conclude that  $G(y, x, \cdot)$  is lower  $C$ -quasiconvex-like. By the similar arguments used in the above proof, we conclude that  $H(x, y, \cdot)$  is lower  $C$ -convex (or, lower  $C$ -quasiconvex-like).

We claim that  $G$  is lower  $C$ -continuous. Indeed, let  $(y_0, x_0, z_0) \in K \times D \times D$ . Since  $f$  is  $(-C)$ -continuous and  $C$ -continuous, for an arbitrary neighborhood  $V$  of the origin in  $Y$  there exists neighborhoods  $U_{x_0}, U_{y_0}, U_{z_0}$  of  $x_0, y_0, z_0$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z_0, y_0) &\in f(z, y) + V - C, \text{ for all } (z, y) \in (U_{z_0}, U_{y_0}). \\ f(x_0, y_0) &\in f(x, y) + V + C, \text{ for all } (x, y) \in (U_{x_0}, U_{y_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$f(z_0, y_0) - f(x_0, y_0) \in f(z, y) - f(x, y) + V - C, \text{ for all } (x, y, z) \in (U_{x_0}, U_{y_0}, U_{z_0}).$$

This mean that

$$G(y_0, x_0, z_0) \subseteq G(y, x, z) + V - C, \text{ for all } (x, y, z) \in (U_{x_0}, U_{y_0}, U_{z_0}).$$

Hence,  $G$  is lower  $C$ -continuous. By the similar arguments used in the above proof, we conclude that  $H$  is lower  $C$ -continuous.

Applying Theorem 4.1, there exists  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$  such that

$$\bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

and

$$G(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, x) \not\subseteq -C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}),$$

$$H(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, y) \not\subseteq -C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } y \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

This mean that  $\bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  and

$$f(x, \bar{y}) \not\subseteq f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) - C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}),$$

$$f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \not\subseteq f(\bar{x}, y) - C \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } y \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

The proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$  When  $Y = \mathbb{R}, C = \mathbb{R}_+$ , we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.2.** *Let  $D, K, S, T$  be the same as in Corollary 4.1. In addition, assume that:*

(i) *The mapping  $f : D \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous;*

(ii) *For any fixed  $(x, y) \in D \times K$ , the mapping  $f(\cdot, y) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is concave (or, quasiconcave) and  $f(x, \cdot) : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex (or, quasiconvex).*

*Then there exists  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in D \times K$  such that*

$$\bar{x} \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{y} \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

and

$$\max_{x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} \min_{y \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} f(x, y) = \min_{y \in T(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} \max_{x \in S(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} f(x, y).$$

## References

- [1] Browder, F. E., *The fixed point theory of multi-valued mappings in topological vector spaces*, Math. Ann., **177**, 283-301, 1968.
- [2] Aubin, J.-P. and Frankowska H., "Set-valued analysis", Birkhauser, 1990.
- [3] Blum, E. and Oettli, W., *From Optimization and Variational Inequalities to Equilibrium Problems*, The Mathematical Student, **64**, 1-23, 1993.
- [4] Chan D. and Pang J.S., *The generalized quasi-variational inequality problem*, Math.Oper. Res, **7**, 211-222, 1982.
- [5] Duong, T. T. T and Tan, N. X., *On the existence of solutions to generalized quasi-equilibrium problems*, J. Glob Optim, **52**, No. 4, 711-728, 2012.
- [6] Fan, K., *A generalization of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem*, Mathematics Annalen, **142**, 305-310, 1961.
- [7] Fan, K., *A minimax inequality and application*, in Inequalities III, O. Shisha (Ed), Academic Press, New-York, 103-113, 1972.
- [8] F. Ferro, F., *A minimax theorem for vector-valued functions*, J. Optim. Theory and Appl, **60**, 19-31, 1989.
- [9] Gurraggio, A. and Tan, N. X., *On General Vector Quasi-Optimization Problems*, Math.Oper. Res., **55**, 347-358, 2002.
- [10] Lin, L.J. , Yu, Z. T. and Kassay, G., *Existence of Equilibria for Monotone multivalued Mappings and Its Applications to Vectorial Equilibria*, J. Optim. Theory and Appl., **114**, 189-208, 2002.

- [11] D. T. Luc, "Theory of vector optimization", Lect. Notes in Eco. and Math. System, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, **319**, 1989.
- [12] Minh, N. B. and Tan, N. X., *Some Sufficient Conditions for the Existence of Equilibrium Points Concerning multivalued Mappings*, Vietnam Journal of Mathematics, **28**, 295-310, 2000.
- [13] Park, S., *Fixed Points and Quasi-Equilibrium Problems*, Nonlinear Operator Theory. Mathematical and Computer Modelling, **32**, 1297-1304, 2000.
- [14] Tan, N. X., *On the existence of solutions of quasi-variational inclusion problems*, J. Optim. Theory and Appl., **123**, 619-638, 2004.